UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,458	08/01/2003	Sophie Chen	CSO-0001-P	2036
23413 7590 11/16/2007 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			EXAMINER	
			KRASS, FREDERICK F	
BLOOMFIELD), CT 06002		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summers	10/647,458	CHEN, SOPHIE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frederick Krass	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 D	ecember 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,7-17,25,31 and 37- 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,18-24,26-30,32-36,44 and 45 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	- <u>43</u> is/are withdrawn from conside is/are rejected.	eration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Application of the control of the	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Previous Rejections

Unless specifically repeated/maintained infra, all previous rejections are withdrawn.

Since the new grounds of rejection which follow were not necessitated by applicant's

amendment, this action is NON-FINAL.

Claim Informalities

The following informalities are noted and should be corrected in responding to this

Office action:

1) Claim 3 ends in two periods; one should be deleted.

2) Claim 18, first line, a capital "T" should be inserted to begin the claim.

3) The status identifiers of claim 7, 38 and 39 would appear to be incorrect, insofar as

those claims are drawn to non-elected species and thus the claims should be identified as being

withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,458 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

Indefiniteness Rejection

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The construction of claim 35 is non-sequitur insofar as it depends from itself. Correction is required.

Obviousness Rejection

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,458

Art Unit: 1614

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 18-24, 26-30, 32-36, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 09-176011 (machine translation) in view of Camden (USP 6,498,188).

The primary reference discloses the use of various flavonoids as chemotherapeutic agents. Since the flavonoid is used to improve the effectiveness of thermotherapy via the mechanistic commonality of heat shock protein stabilization (see paragraph 0003 of the machine translation text), it would be expected to be effective against a wide array of cancers of varying etiology, including drug-resistant variants. Wogonin is specifically tested in the working examples (see especially the last three lines of paragraph 0039). Since that testing is done in cell lines, the prior art differs from the instant claims insofar as it fails to set forth specific dosages for that particular flavonoid, although it does more generally suggest the use of up to 99 percent by weight flavonoid at paragraph 0030. (Similarly, the reference does not provide specific direction concerning the use of wogonin in combination therapy with other chemotherapeutic agents, including immunomodulators).

The secondary reference illustrates the state of the art of cancer chemotherapy. As disclosed therein, combination chemotherapies are well known, with the particular members of the combination being tailored to the particular cancer being treated. Members of the combinations include any of a number of well known chemotherapeutic agents for use against a

¹ The prior art clearly suggests the flavonoid can be provided in the form of its extract. See paragraph 0023. That, coupled with the suggested use of *Scutalleria* derived flavonoids in paragraph 0016, is viewed as fairly suggesting the use of the extracts of instant claim 5 (the well-known commercial source of wogonin and other related compounds).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,458

Art Unit: 1614

in control itambol. Toro ir, it

wide variety of cancers (see the passage bridging column 5, line 3 to column 11, line 20) as well as any of a number of well known immunomodulators such as interferons (see the passage bridging column 11, line 32 to column 12, line 51); selection of appropriate dosages and administrative routes is a matter of routine experimentation (see the passage bridging column 12, line 55 to column 18, line 4). The secondary reference differs from the instant claims insofar as phytoestrogens such as wogonin are not specifically disclosed.

It would have been obvious to have administered wogonin to patients to provide thermotherapy having improved effectiveness as disclosed by the primary reference, tailoring treatment as required to optimize performance by including additional known chemotherapeutic agents and/or immunomodulators as taught by the secondary reference.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick Krass whose telephone number is (571) 272-0580. The examiner can normally be reached at (571) 272-0580 on Monday through Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,458 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frederick Krass Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614